

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

# Opting Biological and Targeted Synthetic Disease-modifying Antirheumatic drugs: Willingness to Pay, Perceptions and barriers among Pakistani Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients

MASEM AFZAL<sup>1</sup>, MUHAMMAD FAIQ<sup>2</sup>, FAHAD AMAN KHAN<sup>3</sup>, IMRAN KHAN<sup>4</sup>, MUHAMMAD MAQSOOD<sup>5</sup>, UMAR FAROOQ DAR<sup>6</sup>, MUHAMMAD ARSLAN<sup>7</sup>, AYESHA KALEEM<sup>8</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Rheumatology, Al-Aleem Medical College/Gulab Devi Teaching Hospital, Lahore

<sup>2</sup>Senior Registrar, Department of Rheumatology, Services Hospital, Lahore

<sup>3,4</sup>Associate Professors, Department of Internal Medicine, Al-Aleem Medical College/Gulab Devi Teaching Hospital, Lahore

<sup>5</sup>Senior Registrar, Department of Medicine, DHQ Teaching Hospital, Gujranwala

<sup>6</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Community Medicine, Avicenna Medical College, Lahore

<sup>7,8</sup>House Officers, Gulab Devi Teaching Hospital, Lahore

Correspondence to: Dr. Umar Farooq Dar, E-mail: [umardar84@gmail.com](mailto:umardar84@gmail.com), Cell: 0327-9597714

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Biological and targeted synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (b/tsDMARDs) have revolutionized the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis (RA). These novel agents have costs, perceptions, barriers and misconceptions.

**Objective:** To determine the factors associated with limited use of b/tsDMARDs among RA patients.

**Study design:** Analytical cross-sectional study.

**Place and duration of study:** Gulab Devi Teaching Hospital, Lahore and Gujranwala Medical College Teaching Hospital, Gujranwala from 1<sup>st</sup> January 2023 to 30<sup>th</sup> June 2023.

**Methodology:** One hundred and sixteen patients with rheumatoid arthritis and willingness to pay to pay, perceptions regarding b/tsDMARDs and barriers rendering suboptimal use of standard treatment were included.

**Results:** Fifty (45%) patients preferred biological and targeted synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs. Regarding perceptions and challenges, we found that patients prefer two to three tablets (56%) instead of injection-based therapy. 27% patients among sampled population perceived that injection being the last treatment should be avoided. 13% patients had needle phobia. 14% patients were willing to pay for b/tsDMARDs while 86% patients were not willing to pay because of financial constraints.

**Conclusion:** Financial constraints are the major barrier to optimal utilization of the newer biological and targeted synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs among Pakistani patients with rheumatoid arthritis.

**Keywords:** Conventional versus non-conventional DMARDs, Arthritis.

## INTRODUCTION

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is a chronic autoimmune disease marked with disability and deformities compromising quality of life.<sup>1,2</sup> Over the last two decades, advancements in the management of RA have revolutionized patient care, particularly with the advent of biological and targeted synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (b/tsDMARDs).<sup>3,4</sup> These therapies offer improved disease control, reduced disability, and enhanced quality of life, especially for patients who fail to respond adequately to conventional DMARDs (cDMARDs).<sup>5</sup> Achieving disease remission or low disease activity has become a primary goal in RA management, guided by international recommendations such as those from the American College of Rheumatology (ACR) and the European Alliance of Associations for Rheumatology (EULAR).<sup>6</sup>

Biological and targeted synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs are costly and require regular follow up and investigations increasing the toll of cost on patients.<sup>7</sup> However, implementing these therapies presents significant challenges in resource-limited settings like Pakistan. In developing countries where out of pocket payment is major modality and the patients pay solely for their treatment expenses.<sup>8-12</sup>

Financial constraints, accessibility barriers, and lack of awareness among patients contribute to suboptimal utilization of advanced therapies. Rheumatologists also face challenges in treatment decision-making, influenced by patient preferences, comorbidities, and local healthcare limitations. This study explores the practices and challenges associated with switching RA patients to b/tsDMARDs in Pakistan. By incorporating perspectives, it aims to identify barriers, facilitators, and actionable strategies for optimizing RA management in this region.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a cross-sectional survey conducted among rheumatoid

arthritis patients in Pakistan. Adults diagnosed with RA and receiving treatment at tertiary care hospitals or outpatient clinics were included. Surveys were translated into Urdu to ensure comprehension. Separate structured questionnaires were developed for patients and rheumatologists. The questionnaire contained questions regarding willingness to switch to b/tsDMARDs, perceptions of injection-based therapies, financial and logistical barriers to treatment access and affordability or willingness to pay. Data were collected by the researchers themselves at two different institutes i.e. Gulab Devi Teaching Hospital and Gujranwala Medical College Teaching Hospital, Gujranwala. The data was entered and analyzed through SPSS-25.

## RESULTS

There were 52 patients (45%) preferred biological and targeted synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs. Regarding perceptions and challenges, we found that patients prefer two to

Table 1: Characteristics of sampled population regarding preference for non-conventional DMARDs (n=116)

| Characteristic                                       | No. | %    |
|--|-----|------|
| Disease duration >5 years                            |     |      |
| Yes  | 74  | 64.0 |
| No   | 42  | 36.0 |
| Disease severity by DAS28                            |     |      |
| High Disease activity                                | 32  | 28.0 |
| Moderate disease activity                            | 60  | 52.0 |
| Low disease activity                                 | 24  | 21.0 |
| Preference for non-conventional DMARDs               |     |      |
| Yes  | 52  | 45.0 |
| No   | 64  | 55.0 |
| Reason for non-preference for nonconventional DMARDs |     |      |
| Fear of injections being the last treatment          | 17  | 27.0 |
| Preference for 2 to 3 tablets                        | 36  | 56.0 |
| Needle Phobia  | 8   | 13.0 |
| No other reason                                      | 3   | 5.0  |
| Willingness to pay                                   |     |      |
| Yes  | 16  | 14.0 |
| No because of financial constraints                  | 100 | 86.0 |

Received on 16-07-2023

Accepted on 19-10-2023

Table 2: Cross-tabulation between characteristics of sampled population and preference for non-conventional DMARDs (n=116)

| Variable                  | Categories                 | Preference for non-conventional DMARDs | Conventional | P value using Chi-square test |
|---------------------------|----------------------------|--|--------------|-------------------------------|
| Disease duration >5 years | Yes                        | 52 (45%)                               | 22 (19%)     | <0.001                        |
|                           | No                         | -                                      | 42 (36%)     |                               |
| Disease severity by DAS28 | High Disease activity      | 16 (14%)                               | 16 (14%)     | 0.78                          |
|                           | Moderate disease activity  | 26 (22%)                               | 34 (29%)     |                               |
|                           | Low disease activity       | 10 (9%)                                | 14 (12%)     |                               |
| Willingness to pay        | Yes                        | 11(9%)                                 | 5(4%)        | 0.03                          |
|                           | No (Financial constraints) | 41                                     | 59(51%)      |                               |

Table 3: Regression analysis for prediction of preference for non-conventional DMARDs and characteristics of sampled population and (n=116)

| Variable | B                    | S.E.    | Wald     | df    | Sig. | Exp (B) |       |
|----------|----------------------|---------|----------|-------|------|---------|-------|
| Step 1a  | Disease duration (1) | -22.772 | 5981.823 | .000  | 1    | .997    | .000  |
|          | Disease severity     | -       | -        | 5.134 | 2    | .077    | -     |
|          | Disease severity (1) | .819    | .712     | 1.323 | 1    | .250    | 2.268 |
|          | Disease severity (2) | 1.395   | .627     | 4.942 | 1    | .026    | 4.033 |
|          | Willingness pay (1)  | -1.676  | 1.103    | 2.308 | 1    | .129    | .187  |
|          | Constant             | 1.695   | 1.088    | 2.428 | 1    | .119    | 5.448 |

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: disease duration, disease severity, willingness pay

three tablets (56%) instead of injection-based therapy. 27% patients among sampled population perceived that injection being the last treatment should be avoided. 13% patients had needle phobia. 14% patients were willing to pay for b/tsDMARDs while 86% patients were not willing to pay because of financial constraints (Table 1). When cross-tabulated these factors with willingness to opt for biological and targeted synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs, disease severity and willingness to pay were the major factors predicting the preference (Table 2). When we applied regression analysis, only disease severity remained significant in our prediction model (Table 3).

## DISCUSSION

The significant disparities in the management of RA in Pakistan, particularly in transitioning patients to b/tsDMARDs.<sup>8,9</sup> While international guidelines emphasize early and aggressive treatment to achieve remission, local challenges such as financial constraints, limited access, and patient compliance issues hinder optimal outcomes. The findings underscore the need for tailored strategies to bridge this gap.<sup>10,11</sup>

In our sampled population, 52 patients (45%) preferred biological and targeted synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs. These results are favourable in terms of high percentage among sampled population because of misconceptions attached with these novel drugs. This implies that the treating physicians can convince their patients for using b/tsDMARDs.<sup>5</sup>

Regarding perceptions and challenges, we found that patients prefer two to three tablets (56%) instead of injection-based therapy. This implies that injection-based therapy is not stranger in our society. 27% patients among sampled population perceived that injection being the last treatment should be avoided. 13% patients had needle phobia. 14% patients were willing to pay for b/tsDMARDs while 86% patients were not willing to pay because of financial constraints. Financial constraints were the primary barrier to accepting b/tsDMARDs. Financial constraints were the most significant barrier. Other barriers included patient compliance issues, anxiety/fear about advanced therapies and concerns about latent infections.<sup>12</sup>

The survey revealed that financial barriers are the predominant obstacle, affecting both patient willingness and rheumatologist decisions. Innovative funding mechanisms, such as government-subsidized programs and philanthropic initiatives, could play a crucial role in mitigating this challenge. Additionally, addressing patient concerns regarding injection-based therapies through education and support programs could improve acceptance rates. Recommendations for improved access include the need for increased government funding and subsidized

treatment programs, expanding resources for philanthropic support, advocacy with pharmaceutical companies to lower costs. Future research should focus on longitudinal outcomes to assess the long-term impact of these interventions.

## CONCLUSION

The financial constraints are the major barrier to optimal utilization of the newer biological and targeted synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs among Pakistani patients with rheumatoid arthritis. To improve RA management in Pakistan, a multifaceted approach is needed. This includes enhancing healthcare infrastructure, advocating for policy changes to reduce medication costs, and fostering collaboration between public and private sectors.

## REFERENCES

1. Radu A-F, Bungau SG. Management of rheumatoid arthritis: an overview. *Cells* 2021; 10(11):2857.
2. Almoallim H, Al Saleh J, Badsha H, Ahmed HM, Habjoka S, Menassa JA, et al. A review of the prevalence and unmet needs in the management of rheumatoid arthritis in Africa and the Middle East. *Rheumatol Therapy* 2021; 8:1-16.
3. Kassab YW, Salahuddin A, Moiz MUA, Ehsan A, Syed HK, Haseeb A, et al. Treatment Effectiveness of Biologic-DMARDs and their Impact on Disease Control among Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients. *J Pharmaceut Res Int* 2021; 33(62A): 542-7.
4. Singh JA, Saag KG, Bridges Jr SL, Akl EA, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, et al. 2015 American College of Rheumatology guideline for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Rheumatol* 2016;68(1):1-26.
5. Shakeel S, Iffat W, Qamar A, Rehman H, Ghuman F, Butt F, et al. Healthcare professionals' compliance with the standard management guidelines towards the use of biological disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs in rheumatoid arthritis patients. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2022;19(8):4699.
6. Smolen JS, Landewé RBM, Bijlsma RJJ, Burmester GR, Dougados M, Kerschbaumer A, et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: 2019 update. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2020;79(6):685-99.
7. Genovese MC, Smolen JS, Takeuchi T, Burmester G, Brinker D, Rooney TP, et al. Safety profile of baricitinib for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis over a median of 3 years of treatment: an updated integrated safety analysis. *Lancet Rheumatol* 2020;2(6):e347-57.
8. Kuwana M, Tamura N, Yasuda S, Fujio K, Shoji A, Yamaguchi H, et al. Cost-effectiveness analyses of biologic and targeted synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic diseases in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: Three approaches with a cohort simulation and real-world data. *Modern Rheumatol* 2023;33(2):302-11.
9. Olivier N. Burden of rheumatoid arthritis in the private health sector: medicine cost and comorbidities. *NMU* 2018.
10. Syngle A, Kaur S, Verma I, Syngle T, Syngle V. Cost-effective analysis of disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs in rheumatoid arthritis. *Clin Rheumatol* 2017;36(8):1715-20.
11. Joensuu JT, Aaltonen KJ, Aronen P, Sokka T, Puolakkka K, Tuompo R, et al. Cost-effectiveness of biologic compared with conventional synthetic disease-modifying anti-rheumatic drugs in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: a register study. *Rheumatology* 2016;55(10):1803-11.
12. Gul N, Quadri M. Rheumatoid arthritis: the importance of evidence based diagnostic reasoning in preventing debilitating consequences. *JAMC* 2014;26(2):118-22.

**This article may be cited as:** Afzal M, Faiq M, Khan FA, Khan I, Maqsood M, Dar UF, Arslan M, Kaleem A: Opting Biological and Targeted Synthetic Disease-modifying Antirheumatic drugs: Willingness to Pay, Perceptions and barriers among Pakistani Rheumatoid Arthritis Patients. *Pak J Med Health Sci*, 2023;18(11): 120-121.