ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Gender Differences in Percutaneous Coronary Intervention Insights from the Coronary Angiography

FAIZAN AHMED1, MOHSIN SHABIR2

¹Trainee Medical Officer, FCPS Cardiology, Rehman Medical Institute Peshawar

²Consultant Cardiologist, Rehman Medical Institute Peshawar

Corresponding author: Faizan Ahmed, Email: fahmed84999@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Background: The data from the prior studies have reported inconsistencies in the comorbidity burden, and baseline risk profile. **Objective:** The data provide insights into the sex difference in percutaneous coronary interventions.

Study design: It is a retrospective study with statistical approach, conducted in the Department of Cardiology, Rehman Medical Institute Peshawar.

Material and Methods: The study was conducted from December 2021 to May 2022. The 400 patients visited the cardiology department of the hospital were included in the study. The selected patients were enrolled in the coronary angiography. The data was collected from cardiology department of two hospitals and analyzed. The ethical and review committee of the hospital approved the study. The informed consent was taken from the selected patients. The data contains demographic features, baselines characteristics, hospital complications and PCI course. The patients having residual stenosis of less than 50% after balloon angioplasty were included in the groups rated as successful PCI groups.

Results: Out of 400 patients taken from two hospitals there were 50% female. The women included in the study were 5 years older than the male patients. Women were on average 67 years old and men were 72 years old. Women in general had more cases of coronary angiography, also women were reported with cases like diabetes mellitus, and some of the cases reported congestive heart failure. The success of the procedure was more successful in case of women with 95% of female patients receiving successful procedure.

Conclusions: The overview of sex linked variations in PCI was observed in this study. The hospital mortality was found to be high in case of women, there was also not significant differences found between hospital mortalities for NSTE-2CS in case of cardiogenic problems

Keywords: Percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI), coronary angiography, cardiogenic shock, Non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome (NSTE-ACS) and myocardial infraction.

INTRODUCTION

The controversial and limited knowledge about the sex differences patterns of percutaneous coronary interventions (PCI) is present. The mortality rates are observed to be higher in the recent years in the Pakistan. These rates are much higher in the developing countries as compared to the developed countries¹⁻².

The most preferable method for the revascularization in the patients with the acute ST elevation myocardial infraction (STEMI). The better outcomes are associated with the primary PCI. PCI is an effective procedure for treatment of the coronary artery diseases (CAD) the The pharmacological interventions outcomes are observed to be less effective. The strokes³⁻⁴, reinfection and mortality rated are observed to be reduced by the primary PCI. Though the less acceptance rates lies in the fact that this treatment is very expensive as compared to the others. It has increased the burden of the medics. The 47% of the patients received thrombolytic therapies. The first line of treatment for the STEMI patients in Pakistan is fibrinolysis⁵⁻⁶. The one of the leading cause of the mortality ACS has observed to be have higher incidence in the women as compared to the man. The changes in the percutaneous coronary intervention mainly effects sex-related differences with the passage of the time. The age, angiographic characteristics and coronary risk factors are the predisposing factors that differ in women and men diagnosed with CAD. The women diagnosed with CAD are normally older, with lower body mass index and higher prevalence of coronary risks factors comparing to the men⁷⁻⁸.

The early mortality is associated with the women undergoing PCI. The different studies have reported that the mortality rates will increase to be 23.3 million by 2030. Coronary artery diseases are prevailing rapidly and affecting the people over the globe. The some researchers have reported the prognostic differences in different gender. The different studies showed different association of the sex-related outcomes with CAD. Few studies have reported the positive and direct association of female with the coronary angiography undergoing PCI⁹, while other have reported both are independent factors. The higher risks of post procedural

complications associated with the PCI are observed in the women as compared to the man.

The objective of this meta-analysis was to determine the sex-differences in patients with coronary angiography undergoing PCI^{10}

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The study was conducted from December 2021 to May 2022. The 400 patients who visited the cardiology department of our institute teaching hospital were included in the study. The selected patients were those who were enrolled in the coronary angiography. The data was collected from cardiology department of two hospitals and analyzed. The ethical and review committee of the hospital approved the study. The informed consent was taken from the selected patients. The data contains demographic features, baselines characteristics, hospital complications and PCI course. The patients having residual stenosis of less than 50% after balloon angioplasty were included in the groups rated as successful PCI groups. According to the eligibility criteria all the patients treated with PCI for;

- non-ST elevation acute coronary syndrome (NSTE-ACS)
- ST elevation myocardial infraction (STEMI)
- coronary artery disease

Were included in the study. The analysis was performed by using statistical approaches. The data about the age, sex, prior PCI and myocardial infraction, cardiovascular risk factor, concomitant disease and stroke was collected. The cardiovascular outcomes, in-hospital complications, lesions characteristics were recorded. The pearson test performed for statistical analysis and the odds ratio were 95% were calculated by the logistics regressions. The significant p value was less than 0.05. The SAS software was used for the analysis.

RESULTS

The data from two hospitals was taken where the patients were registered. Sex differences were also described, during the therapeutic treatment after the patients were asked to carry out coronary angiography. Out of 400 patients taken from two

hospitals there were 50% female. The women included in the study were 5 years older than the male patients. Women were on average 67 years old and men were 72 years old. Women in general had more cases of coronary angiography, also women were reported with cases like diabetes mellitus, and some of the cases reported congestive heart failure. The success of the procedure was more successful in case of women with 95% of female patients receiving successful procedure.

The incidence of complications that appeared because of procedure was also slightly more in female than in male. As far as elective PCI is concerned the success rate in primary stage was higher in case of women. It was found that the incidence of PCI in case of single vessel was found in case of men more than female, therefore they underwent whole vessel occlusion especially in case of bypass grafts. There were major differences found of mortality rate between men and women due to catheterization carried out in the laboratory. There was a clear comparison found between NSTEC-ACS and PCI patients. The success of stent rate was more in case of women. The sex differences found in the procedural features of STEMI were less significant. In case of cardiogenic shock, the incidence rate was not dependent on sex differences.

Table 1: Outcomes in the hospital

PCI in cardiogenic shock	Table 1: Outcomes in the nospital	Female	Male	Odds Ratio
Death in hospital		Female	iviale	
n=100	DOI: 11 1 1			(age adjusted)
Death in hospital	PCI in cardiogenic shock	400	400	
Major adverse cardiac event (26%) (29%) 1.05(0.9-1.5) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event (24%) (23%) 1.03(0.7-1.4) Non-lethal recovery (3%) (2%) 0.93(0.91-1.2) Other complication 1.1% (2%) 2.3(0.5-2.4) PCI in STEMI-ACS without cardiac problem n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 6% 4% 1.2(0.8-1.15) Major adverse cardiac event 7% 4% 1.17(0.7-1.2) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 6.9% 3.9% 1.21(1.1-1.25) Non-lethal recovery 1% 0.5% 1.17(1.09-1.23) 1.00 pcl in NSTE-ACS individual without cardiogenic problems n=100 n=100 PCI in NSTE-ACS individual without cardiogenic problems n=100 n=100 Major adverse cardiac event 2.7% 2% 1.0(1.0-1.9) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 2.1% 1.62(0.8-1.78) Noh-lethal recovery 2% 1% 1.62(0.8-1.78) Other complication 5% 2.4% 1.97(1.87-2.1)				
Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event (24%) (23%) 1.03(0.7-1.4) Non-lethal recovery (3%) (2%) 0.93(0.91-1.2) Other complication 1.1% (2%) 2.3(0.5-2.4) PCI in STEMI-ACS without cardiac problem n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 6% 4% 1.2(0.8-1.15) Major adverse cardiac event 7% 4% 1.17(0.7-1.2) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 6.9% 3.9% 1.21(1.1-1.25) Non-lethal recovery 1% 0.5% 1.17(1.09-1.23) Other complication 2.3% 1% 2.4(2-2.5) PCI in NSTE-ACS individual without cardiogenic problems n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 3% 1.9% 1.1(1.0-1.9) Major adverse cardiac event 2.7% 2% 1.0(1.0-1.9) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 2.4% 1.97(1.87-2.1) PCI in elective patients n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 0.6% 0.2% 1.09 (0.9-2.1) Major adverse cardiac event				
Non-lethal recovery		(26%)	(29%)	1.05(0.9-1.5)
Non-lethal recovery		(24%)	(23%)	1.03(0.7-1.4)
Other complication 1.1% (2%) 2.3(0.5-2.4) PCI in STEMI-ACS without cardiac problem n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 6% 4% 1.2(0.8-1.15) Major adverse cardiac event 7% 4% 1.17(0.7-1.2) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 6.9% 3.9% 1.21(1.1-1.25) Non-lethal recovery 1% 0.5% 1.17(1.09-1.23) Other complication 2.3% 1% 2.4(2-2.5) PCI in NSTE-ACS individual without cardiogenic problems n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 3% 1.9% 1.1(1.0-1.9) Major adverse cardiac event 2.7% 2% 1.0(1.0-1.9) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 2% 1.62(0.8-1.78) Other complication 5% 2.4% 1.97(1.87-2.1) PCI in elective patients n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 0.6% 0.2% 1.09 (0.9-2.1) Major adverse cardiac event 0.8% 0.5% 1.39(0.8-1.39) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event	cerebrovascular event			
PCI in STEMI-ACS without cardiac problem n=100	Non-lethal recovery	(3%)	(2%)	0.93(0.91-1.2)
Death in hospital Section Part Part	Other complication	1.1%	(2%)	2.3(0.5-2.4)
Death in hospital Section Part Part				
n=100	PCI in STEMI-ACS without			
Death in hospital 6% 4% 1.2(0.8-1.15)	cardiac problem			
Major adverse cardiac event 7% 4% 1.17(0.7-1.2) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 6.9% 3.9% 1.21(1.1-1.25) Non-lethal recovery 1% 0.5% 1.17(1.09-1.23) Other complication 2.3% 1% 2.4(2-2.5) PCI in NSTE-ACS individual without cardiogenic problems n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 3% 1.9% 1.1(1.0-1.9) Major adverse cardiac event 2.7% 2% 1.0(1.0-1.9) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 3% 2.1% 1.8(0.9-1.9) Other complication 5% 2.4% 1.97(1.87-2.1) PCI in elective patients n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 0.6% 0.2% 1.09 (0.9-2.1) Major adverse cardiac event 0.8% 0.5% 1.39(0.8-1.39) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 0.3% 0.2% 1.45(0.7-1.46) Non-lethal recovery 1.9% 1% 1.33(0.66-1.34)		n=100	n=100	
Major adverse cardiac event 7% 4% 1.17(0.7-1.2) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 6.9% 3.9% 1.21(1.1-1.25) Non-lethal recovery 1% 0.5% 1.17(1.09-1.23) Other complication 2.3% 1% 2.4(2-2.5) PCI in NSTE-ACS individual without cardiogenic problems n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 3% 1.9% 1.1(1.0-1.9) Major adverse cardiac event 2.7% 2% 1.0(1.0-1.9) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 3% 2.1% 1.8(0.9-1.9) Other complication 5% 2.4% 1.97(1.87-2.1) PCI in elective patients n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 0.6% 0.2% 1.09 (0.9-2.1) Major adverse cardiac event 0.8% 0.5% 1.39(0.8-1.39) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 0.3% 0.2% 1.45(0.7-1.46) Non-lethal recovery 1.9% 1% 1.33(0.66-1.34)	Death in hospital	6%	4%	1.2(0.8-1.15)
Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 6.9% 3.9% 1.21(1.1-1.25) Non-lethal recovery 1% 0.5% 1.17(1.09-1.23) Other complication 2.3% 1% 2.4(2-2.5) PCI in NSTE-ACS individual without cardiogenic problems n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 3% 1.9% 1.1(1.0-1.9) Major adverse cardiac event 2.7% 2% 1.0(1.0-1.9) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 3% 2.1% 1.8(0.9-1.9) Non-lethal recovery 2% 1% 1.62(0.8-1.78) Other complication 5% 2.4% 1.97(1.87-2.1) PCI in elective patients n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 0.6% 0.2% 1.09 (0.9-2.1) Major adverse cardiac event 0.8% 0.5% 1.39(0.8-1.39) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 0.3% 0.2% 1.45(0.7-1.46) Non-lethal recovery 1.9% 1% 1.33(0.66-1.34)		7%	4%	
cerebrovascular event 1% 0.5% 1.17(1.09-1.23) Other complication 2.3% 1% 2.4(2-2.5) PCI in NSTE-ACS individual without cardiogenic problems n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 3% 1.9% 1.1(1.0-1.9) Major adverse cardiac event Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 2.7% 2% 1.0(1.0-1.9) Non-lethal recovery 2% 1% 1.62(0.8-1.78) Other complication 5% 2.4% 1.97(1.87-2.1) PCI in elective patients n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 0.6% 0.2% 1.09 (0.9-2.1) Major adverse cardiac event 0.8% 0.5% 1.39(0.8-1.39) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 0.3% 0.2% 1.45(0.7-1.46) Non-lethal recovery 1.9% 1% 1.33(0.66-1.34)				
1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23 1.23	cerebrovascular event			,
Other complication 2.3% 1% 2.4(2-2.5) PCI in NSTE-ACS individual without cardiogenic problems n=100	Non-lethal recovery	1%	0.5%	1.17(1.09-
PCI in NSTE-ACS individual without cardiogenic problems n=100 Death in hospital Major adverse cardiac event Non-lethal recovery Death in hospital Necount of the patients n=100 1.9% 1.1(1.0-1.9) 1.8(0.9-1.9) 1.8(0.9-1.9) 1.8(0.9-1.9) 1.8(0.9-1.9) 1.8(0.9-1.9) 1.9% 1.97(1.87-2.1) 1.97(1.87-2.1) 1.97(1.87-2.1) 1.97(1.87-2.1) 1.98(1.99(1.9-2.1) 1.99(1.9-2.1) 1.99(1.9-2.1) 1.99(1.9-2.1) 1.99(1.99(1.9-2.1) 1.99(1.99(1.99(1.9-2.1) 1.99(1.99(1.99(1.99(1.99(1.99(1.99(1.9	, , , , , , , ,			
PCI in NSTE-ACS individual without cardiogenic problems	Other complication	2.3%	1%	2.4(2-2.5)
n=100				, ,
Death in hospital 3% 1.9% 1.1(1.0-1.9)	without cardiogenic problems			
Major adverse cardiac event 2.7% 2% 1.0(1.0-1.9) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 3% 2.1% 1.8(0.9-1.9) Non-lethal recovery 2% 1% 1.62(0.8-1.78) Other complication 5% 2.4% 1.97(1.87-2.1) PCI in elective patients n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 0.6% 0.2% 1.09 (0.9-2.1) Major adverse cardiac event 0.8% 0.5% 1.39(0.8-1.39) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 0.3% 0.2% 1.45(0.7-1.46) Non-lethal recovery 1.9% 1% 1.33(0.66-1.34)		n=100	n=100	
Major adverse cardiac event 2.7% 2% 1.0(1.0-1.9) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 3% 2.1% 1.8(0.9-1.9) Non-lethal recovery 2% 1% 1.62(0.8-1.78) Other complication 5% 2.4% 1.97(1.87-2.1) PCI in elective patients n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 0.6% 0.2% 1.09 (0.9-2.1) Major adverse cardiac event 0.8% 0.5% 1.39(0.8-1.39) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 0.3% 0.2% 1.45(0.7-1.46) Non-lethal recovery 1.9% 1% 1.33(0.66-1.34)	Death in hospital	3%	1.9%	1.1(1.0-1.9)
Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 3% 2.1% 1.8(0.9-1.9) Non-lethal recovery 2% 1% 1.62(0.8-1.78) Other complication 5% 2.4% 1.97(1.87-2.1) PCI in elective patients n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 0.6% 0.2% 1.09 (0.9-2.1) Major adverse cardiac event 0.8% 0.5% 1.39(0.8-1.39) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 0.3% 0.2% 1.45(0.7-1.46) Non-lethal recovery 1.9% 1% 1.33(0.66-1.34)	Major adverse cardiac event	2.7%	2%	
cerebrovascular event Non-lethal recovery 2% 1% 1.62(0.8-1.78) Other complication 5% 2.4% 1.97(1.87-2.1) PCI in elective patients n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 0.6% 0.2% 1.09 (0.9-2.1) Major adverse cardiac event 0.8% 0.5% 1.39(0.8-1.39) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 0.3% 0.2% 1.45(0.7-1.46) Non-lethal recovery 1.9% 1% 1.33(0.66-1.34)				
Other complication 5% 2.4% 1.97(1.87-2.1) PCI in elective patients n=100 n=100 Death in hospital 0.6% 0.2% 1.09 (0.9-2.1) Major adverse cardiac event 0.8% 0.5% 1.39(0.8-1.39) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 0.3% 0.2% 1.45(0.7-1.46) Non-lethal recovery 1.9% 1% 1.33(0.66-1.34)	cerebrovascular event			, ,
Death in hospital Deat	Non-lethal recovery	2%	1%	1.62(0.8-1.78)
n=100 n=100	Other complication	5%	2.4%	1.97(1.87-2.1)
n=100 n=100	PCI in elective patients			
Death in hospital 0.6% 0.2% 1.09 (0.9-2.1) Major adverse cardiac event 0.8% 0.5% 1.39(0.8-1.39) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 0.3% 0.2% 1.45(0.7-1.46) Non-lethal recovery 1.9% 1% 1.33(0.66-1.34)	'	n=100	n=100	
Major adverse cardiac event 0.8% 0.5% 1.39(0.8-1.39) Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 0.3% 0.2% 1.45(0.7-1.46) Non-lethal recovery 1.9% 1% 1.33(0.66-1.34)	Death in hospital			1.09 (0.9-2.1)
Major lethal cardiac or cerebrovascular event 0.3% 0.2% 1.45(0.7-1.46) Non-lethal recovery 1.9% 1% 1.33(0.66-1.34)				
cerebrovascular event 1.9% 1% 1.33(0.66-1.34)		_		
Non-lethal recovery 1.9% 1% 1.33(0.66- 1.34)		3.070	3.2 / 0	
1.34)		1.9%	1%	1 33(0 66-
			.,,	
	Other complication	3%	2.0%	2.0 (0.99-2.1)

DISCUSSION

This study was carried out to find sex differences in the percutaneous coronary intervention, an insight that was needed from coronary angiography and PCI registry. Data was taken from two hospitals. It was found that there were more angiography cases carried out on women as compared to men still the mortality rate was high in women. The diseases like diabetes mellitus were seen more predominately in case of women as compared to men. Similar results were found after a study carried out in America¹¹⁻¹².

It was not clear until now that why there was a clear cut difference between usage of invasive procedures related to coronary diseases in men and women in spite of the fact that there are many reported advantages of PCI in lowering the complications of ischemia.

The women were found to be older as compared to men. In case of STEMI patients there was age difference of 10 years found between men and women, with women being older than men. It was not found through analysis that there was any more PCI primary success rate in men as compared to women. In case of PCI and NSTE-ACS¹³⁻¹⁴, the rate was quite high in case of women. These findings are consistent with the previous reports where similar success ratio was found between men and women ¹⁵.

It was found after analysis that the complications that arise during procedures and after the intervention were more prominently found in women as compared to men. Similar studies have been described before as well, but the main reasons to find this here were problems like higher comorbidity, differences in correct dosage¹⁶⁻¹⁷, variations in endothelial functions. It was stated by previous analysis that the use of primary PCI over fibrinolytic therapy is much more advantageous in case of acute myocardial infarction, in some cases the future risk for any myocardial event was not reduced by any of these therapies¹⁸⁻¹⁹. As per previous studies there was much lower chance of long term mortality in women as compared to men. Even after adjusting the age of the patients the hospital mortality was higher for PCI and STEMI in case of our analysis. However, it has prominently decreased over the passage of time.

As per previous studies in our studies it was also found that there were more cases of young female death as compared to men in case of STEMI. However, as per studies carried out in 1999 it was found that the mortality cases used to be high as compared to current pace in case of both sexes. Especially in case of STEMI the hospital death cases have decreased prominently. Another study has shown that there are 20% more cases of hospital mortality in case of women²⁰⁻²¹. Another important finding revealed that the sex differences that are observed in case of vascular complications after the PCI are quite similar to after lone coronary angiography. All these points reflect why there was less use of severe anticoagulant and the use of smaller sized sheath.

There were no such results found where any sex differences appeared in specific risk groups. It was found that there were some cases where PCI was found in more than one coronary vessel in case of STEMI, and it was the case that was associated with high mortality in men as compared to women²². However, in case of patients that were not suffering from acute coronary syndrome the PCI in more than one vessel, like PCI in CABG, was linked with high mortality rate in case of women. The reasons why these differences appeared needed to be further addressed. However, this study also had some limitations as this data was only taken from two hospitals, if more hospitals were added in the study, the analysis could be more precise. Also the minor variations that appear in the results were may be due to population based effect. According to the reports, the data about the invasive coronary angioplasty was not followed up that either the patients had non-invasive treatment²³. There was also no data regarding the vascular access, but as per studies the radial access was reduced by 10% from 2007. Therefore, in this study the completeness of revascularization was not described in case of both sexes²⁴.

CONCLUSION

In this study the overview of sex linked variations in case of PCI were observed. The hospital mortality was found to be high in case of women, there was also not significant differences found between hospital mortalities for NSTE-2CS in case of cardiogenic problems. Other sort of complications was also found to be much elevated in women than men. There is need for further studies to find out about the reasons of these differences.

REFERENCES

- Farman MT, Sial JA, Khan NU, Rizvi SN, Saghir T, Zaman KS. Outcome of primary percutaneous coronary intervention at public sector tertiary care hospital in Pakistan. JPMA-Journal of the Pakistan Medical Association. 2011 Jun 1;61(6):575.
- Hakeem A, Dhakam S, Tai J, Bakhtawar H, Nazim MH, Raza S, Bhatti S. Early and long term outcome of rescue percutaneous coronary intervention (R-PCI): experience from a tertiary care center in Pakistan. Journal of thrombosis and thrombolysis. 2009 Apr;27(3):287-92.
- Bashir M, Khan AA. Challenging Rotablation assisted Percutaneous Coronary Angioplasty to LAD and LCX. Pakistan Journal of Cardiovascular Interventions. 2021 Dec 1;1(1):39-45.
- Lin X, Ma A, Zhang W, Lu Q, Sun C, Tian H, Lei X, Bai X. Cardioprotective effects of atorvastatin plus trimetazidine in percutaneous coronary intervention. Pakistan Journal of Medical Sciences. 2013 Apr;29(2):545.
- Sattar Y, Talib U, Faisaluddin M, Song D, Lak HM, Laghari A, Khan MZ, Ullah W, Elgendy IY, Balla S, Daggubati R. Meta-analysis comparing distal radial versus traditional radial percutaneous coronary intervention or angiography. The American Journal of Cardiology. 2022 May 1;170:31-9.
- Hang Yiu K, Pong V, Wah Siu C, Pak Lau C, Fat Tse H. Long-term oral nitrate therapy is associated with adverse outcome in diabetic patients following elective percutaneous coronary intervention. Cardiovascular diabetology. 2011 Dec;10(1):1-6.
- Batra MK, Rizvi NH, Sial JA, Saghir T, Karim M. Angiographic characteristics and in hospital outcome of young patients, age up to 40 versus more than 40 years undergoing primary percutaneous coronary intervention. JPMA. 2019 Sep;69:1307-11.
- Heer T, Hochadel M, Schmidt K, Mehilli J, Zahn R, Kuck KH, Hamm C, Böhm M, Ertl G, Hoffmeister HM, Sack S. Sex differences in percutaneous coronary intervention—insights from the coronary angiography and PCI registry of the German Society of Cardiology. Journal of the American Heart Association. 2017 Mar 20;6(3):e004972.
- Ramzan M, Javed M, Qadir F, Zubair M. Assessment of Gender variations in the patients with Acute Coronary Syndromes subjected to Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. Isra Med J. 2021;13(2):123-6
- Gurm HS, Dixon SR, Smith DE, Share D, LaLonde T, Greenbaum A, Moscucci M, BMC2 (Blue Cross Blue Shield of Michigan Cardiovascular Consortium) Registry. Renal function-based contrast dosing to define safe limits of radiographic contrast media in patients undergoing percutaneous coronary interventions. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2011 Aug 23;58(9):907-14.
- Chen WH, Lee PY, Ng W, Tse HF, Lau CP. Aspirin resistance is associated with a high incidence of myonecrosis after non-urgent percutaneous coronary intervention despite clopidogrel pretreatment. Journal of the American College of Cardiology. 2004 Mar 17;43(6):1122-6.
- Krishnamurthy A, Keeble C, Burton-Wood N, Somers K, Anderson M, Harland C, Baxter PD, McLenachan JM, Blaxill JM, Blackman DJ, Malkin CJ. Clinical outcomes following primary percutaneous coronary intervention for ST-elevation myocardial infarction according to sex and race. European Heart Journal: Acute Cardiovascular Care. 2019 Apr 1;8(3):264-72.

- Stefanini GG, Kalesan B, Pilgrim T, Räber L, Onuma Y, Silber S, Serruys PW, Meier B, Jüni P, Windecker S. Impact of sex on clinical and angiographic outcomes among patients undergoing revascularization with drug-eluting stents. JACC: Cardiovascular interventions. 2012 Mar;5(3):301-10.
- 14. Atluri R, Khdeir O, Yalamanchili S, Park DY, Murthi M. A-4| Complications in Acute MI Hospitalizations with Atrial Fibrillation after Percutaneous Coronary Intervention and Drug-Eluting Stent: Insight from the National Inpatient Sample. Journal of the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography & Interventions. 2022 May 1;1(3).
- Cader FA, Rahman A, Ullah M, Rahman MA, Alam MS, Nasrin S, Momen A, Kundu SK, Chakraborty S, Bala P. Gender Differences in Clinical, Angiographic and Procedural Profiles between Young Patients with Acute Coronary Syndrome undergoing Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. Cardiovascular Journal. 2018 Apr 6;10(2):113-20.
- Bundhun PK, Pursun M, Huang F. Are women with type 2 diabetes mellitus more susceptible to cardiovascular complications following coronary angioplasty?: a meta-analysis. BMC cardiovascular disorders. 2017 Dec;17(1):1-4.
- Shah I, Faheem M, Hafizullah M. Clinical Profile, Angiographic Characteristics and Treatment Recommendations in Patients with Coronary Artery Disease. Journal of Pakistan Medical Students. 2013 Apr 1;3(2).
- Artani A, Baloch F, Laghari A, Siddiqui F, Artani M, Kazmi K. Sexstratified outcomes of primary percutaneous coronary intervention: A tertiary care experience. Asian Cardiovascular and Thoracic Annals. 2022 Feb;30(2):164-70.
- Cretu DE, Udroiu CA, Stoicescu CI, Tatu-Chitoiu G, Vinereanu D. Predictors of in-hospital mortality of ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction patients undergoing interventional treatment. An analysis of data from the RO-STEMI registry. Maedica. 2015 Sep;10(4):295.
- Khan KA, Kumar D, Shaikh AH, Khowaja S, Ali M, Bhatti KI, Qayyum D, Sial JA, Saghir T, Achakzai AS. Impact of Gender on the Clinical Features, Angiographic Findings, and Outcomes of Young Patients Presented with Acute Coronary Syndrome. Pakistan Heart Journal. 2021;54(4):321-7.
- Jones, D.A., Gallagher, S., Rathod, K.S., Redwood, S., de Belder, M.A., Mathur, A., Timmis, A.D., Ludman, P.F., Townend, J.N., Wragg, A. and NICOR (National Institute for Cardiovascular Outcomes Research), 2014. Mortality in South Asians and Caucasians after percutaneous coronary intervention in the United Kingdom: an observational cohort study of 279,256 patients from the BCIS (British Cardiovascular Intervention Society) National Database. JACC: Cardiovascular Interventions, 7(4), pp.362-371.
- Furnaz S, Karim M, Ashraf T, Ali S, Shahid I, Ali S, Khawaja UA, Haque MT, Usman MS, Siddiqi TJ. Performance of the TIMI risk score in predicting mortality after primary percutaneous coronary intervention in elderly women: results from a developing country. PloS one. 2019 Jul 25;14(7):e0220289.
- Jahanzeb M, Nismat J. Diurnal Variation in Outcomes of Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. Cureus. 2020;12(4).
- Mujtaba SF, Rehman JU, Sial JA, Pathan RA, Karim M. ANTIPLATELET ADHERENCE AT SIX MONTHS AFTER PRIMARY PERCUTANEOUS CORONARY INTERVENTION PERFORMED AT A RURAL SATELLITE CENTER. Pakistan Heart Journal. 2020 Jul 20:53(2).