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ABSTRACT

Aim: To compare the usefulness of primary repair and ileostomy in cases of single typhoid perforation.

Methods: The study was conducted in surgical unit 1, Nawaz Sharif Social Security Teaching Hospital, Multan Road Lahore for one year from 01-01-2014 to 31-12-2014. Sixty patients fulfilling inclusion criteria were included in this study and they were divided into two equal groups A and B by using the table of random numbers. The group A was treated with primary repair and group B with ileostomy.

Results: The mean age group of A was (26.9±9.3) years and in group B was (31.5±10.0) years. The mean duration of hospital stay in group A was 6.5±1.1 days and in group B was 9.1±2.4 days. In postoperative complication, in group A 02 (6.6%) patients developed leakage of primary repair, however, no mortality in group A. In group B 27 (90%) patients developed skin irritation, 04 (13.4%) retraction of ileostomy, 01 (3.3%) necrosis and 03 (10%) expired.

Conclusion: The early presentation of patients with typhoid perforation, the primary repair is better than ileostomy.
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INTRODUCTION

Typhoid perforation is a major problem in developing countries and carries a high mortality and morbidity. To improve survival in typhoid perforation, attention should be focused on preoperative resuscitation and early intervention.

The most lethal complications of typhoid perforation are intestinal bleeding and ileal perforations, both arising from necrosis Pye’s patches in the terminal ileum.

Typhoid intestinal perforation is the most common cause of acute generalized peritonitis followed by perforated acute appendicitis. Prognostic factors include age, the cause of perforation, amount of pus, fecal fistula and intraabdominal abscesses.

Mortality and morbidity after surgical treatment of typhoid ileal perforation remain very high in developing countries. If there is gross peritonitis with copious amount of pus and fecal matter, multiple perforations within 10cm of ileo-cecal valve and delay in the operation then loop ileostomy is a fair option followed by second operation (reversal). This can be done six to eight weeks after the first surgery, however, it has its own risk factors in addition to its psychological and social trauma to the patients.

Primary repair can be done in patients who present within 36 hours experiencing sharp shooting pain and per-operative findings of minimal contamination.

Repair of the perforation is a better procedure than temporary ileostomy in enteric perforation due to its cost effectiveness and absence of complications related to ileostomy. There is a less morbidity rate (20%) in primary surgical repair compared with loop ileostomy which is (31%). Ileostomy and ileo-transverse bypass should be considered as a treatment option in patients with unhealthy gut. Ileostomy is a life saving to be used judicially, accepting inconvenience to the patients.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This study was conducted in surgical unit 1 Nawaz Sharif Social Security Teaching Hospital Lahore for one year from 01-01-2014 to 31-12-2014. Sixty patients were included in this study who present with peritonitis and clinically diagnosed as typhoid perforations and confirmed at laparotomy. All the patients divided into two equal groups A and B. Each group was composed of thirty patients. For group A single perforation identified and closed with single layer of vicryl 3/0. For group B ileostomy was done in right iliac fossa. Patients with ileostomy were followed at 3 months for reversal.

RESULTS

Group A primary repair and group B ileostomy.

Table 1 Age (in years) in two groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>S.D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The mean age in group A was 26.9±9.3 years and in group B was 31.5±10 as shown in table 1. the mean age in both groups is comparable.

Table 2: Complications of primary repair( Group A)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Leakage of primary repair</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The complication of leakage of primary repair found in only 2 patients (6.6%). While 29 patients (93.4%) completely closed. No mortality occurred in group A.

Table 3: Complication of ileostomy (Group B) n=30

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complications</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>%age</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Skin irritation</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retraction</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Necrosis</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

While in group B 27(90%) patients had seen irritation. 04(13.4%) patients had retraction of ileostomy .01(3.3%) had necrosis and 3 (10%) were expired.

Table 4 Hospital stay (in two groups) in days

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group A Mean±S.D</th>
<th>Group B Mean±S.D</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.5±1.1</td>
<td>9.1±2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

P value <0.05

DISCUSSION

Typhoid disease is mainly effecting the young average age mentioned in literature is about 28 years and in our study is 29 years. Typhoid ileal perforation commonly effect young adults in the second and third decades of life, and usually occurs in the second and third week of fever. In typhoid ileal perforation the number and location of the perforation may be 2 other factors influencing the prognosis. Beniwal et al have found that the number of perforation affects the mortality.

Adesunkanmi and Ajad reported a high incidence of residual abscess in patients single perforation. In contrast Rehman et al reported that the number of perforations does not significantly affect the outcome and mortality rate. The most important factor influencing the outcome of surgical procedure is the time of surgery since perforation. The morbidity and mortality remains high particularly in these patients in whom surgical intervention is carried out after 24 hours of perforation.

Ileostomy is not very much favored in literature because of its high morbidity and complications. In our study 27(90%) patients had skin irritation, 04(13.4%) retraction, 01(3.3%) necrosis and 3(10%) patients expired after ileostomy and are comparable to various other studies, however, no one of these complications occurred in patients who had primary closure. The reported mortality after primary closure ranges from 8 to 39%. In the presence study mortality rate after primary closure was nil and in ileostomy was 3 (10%). The hospital stay with primary repair was 6.5±1.1 days significantly less than ileostomy which was 9.1±2-4 days. Primary closure of the perforation is a favored procedure throughout international literature.
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